UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/577,992	06/16/2006	Kikuo Yamada	HOS-73	4522
	7590 09/17/200 & Associates PC	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 11		MCDONALD, SHANTESE L		
Mount Vernon, VA 22121			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3723	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/17/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Арр	lication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		77,992	YAMADA, KIKUO	YAMADA, KIKUO			
		niner	Art Unit				
		NTESE MCDONALD	3723				
The MAILING DATE of this com Period for Reply	munication appears o	n the cover sheet with the	e correspondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM TH - Extensions of time may be available under the proviafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxim: - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704	E MAILING DATE C sions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In communication. Im statutory period will apply reply will, by statute, cause the other the mailing date of	OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF	ON. timely filed multiple timely filed mul				
Status							
	Afiled on 26 May 20	na					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 May 2009</u> . This action is FINAL						
<u> </u>	<u> </u>						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
closed in accordance with the pi	actice under Ex part	e Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	455 O.G. 215.				
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-5,9-14,16-20 and 22</u> -	30 is/are pending in	the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
* <u> </u>	6) Claim(s) <u>1-5,9-14,16-20 and 22-26</u> is/are rejected.						
· <u> </u>	7) Claim(s) <u>27-30</u> is/are objected to. B) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
o) Claim(s) are subject to re	striction and/or elect	on requirement.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to b	y the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any	·— ·						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) inclu	-	*	* *	ER 1 121/d)			
11) The oath or declaration is objected	-		-	, ,			
11) The ball of declaration is objects	to by the Examine	i. Note the attached Offi	CE ACION OF IONITY	10-102.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	ary (PTO-413) Date al Patent Application				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5,9-14,16-20 and 22-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka (6,968,591), in view of Busam et al.

Tanaka (6,968,591) teaches a cleaning tool sheet comprising fiber bundles, 3,4,6, laminated on one side of a base sheet, 5, wherein the base sheet and the fiber bundles have thermal fusibility, wherein central parts in the direction of flow of the fiber constituting the fiber bundles are joined by a central joining part, 7, continuously formed in the central part of the base sheet and joined at a joining part, 34, formed on a parallel line between the central joining part and two end edges parallel there with, wherein the two ends in the direction of flow of the fibers are not joined to the base sheet, (col. 5, line 64-col.6, line 6). Tanaka (6,968,591) also teaches a holding sheet comprising two

Application/Control Number: 10/577,992

Art Unit: 3723

non-woven sheets bounded together to define a holding part therein, the holding sheet bonded to a side of the base sheet opposite the fiber bundles, (col. 9, lines 36-50), the holding sheet comprising two bag form holding parts, 22, (col. 8, lines 26-37), a joining line, 8, positioned along a respective edge of the space provided by the holding sheet for receiving a holding member, and another line positioned along an edge of another space for receiving a holding member, (col. 6, lines 35-54, fig. 2), holding sheets further comprising a color part indicating a location for insertion of an insertion part of a cleaning tool and further comprising a pattern of surface irregularities, 24, indicating a location of insertion of a cleaning tool, (col. 9, lines 40-44). Tanaka (6,968,591) teaches all the limitations of the claims except for the joining line along the respective edge of the space provided by the holding sheet and the another line positioned along an edge of another space being spot-form joining lines that don't overlap in the direction of flow of the fiber bundles, the thickness of the fibers being 1 to 18 denier, and the holding sheet comprising a single non-woven sheet folded in two and heat sealed to a side of a base sheet opposite the fiber bundles. Busam et al. teaches a joining line being a spot formed joining line, 630, that don't overlap, (col. 11, lines 10-18). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the joining line 34, of Tanaka (6,968,591), with for joining line being a spot form line that doesn't overlap, as taught by Busam, as an alternate form or joining the fibers and sheets, since Tanaka teaches that the sheets and fibers can be joined by various methods, (col. 8, lines 48-54). It would have been further obvious to provide the tool of Tanaka (6,968,591) with the fiber bundles having a thickness of the

Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

fibers being 1 to 18 denier, as a matter of obvious design choice. It would have been further obvious to provide the tool of Tanaka (6,968,591), with the holding sheet comprising a single non-woven sheet folded in two and heat sealed to a side of a base sheet opposite the fiber bundles, since Tanaka teaches fusing two sheets to form a holding part, and it is obvious to provide two sheets, or fold on sheet in half to form a holding part.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 27-30 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 5/26/09 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant argues that the Tanaka and Busam et al. references does not teach a first portion of the fibers in the fiber bundle being bonded only by the central joining part and a second portion of the fibers in the fiber bundle is bonded by the spot-form joining parts and by the central joining part. The Applicant also argues that Tanaka discloses only join line 34, that spans the entire length of the sheet, and that there is no motivation to combine the Tanaka and Busam references. The Examiner

disagrees. The Tanaka reference teaches bonding fibers bundles to a base sheet using join lines 34, which is a continuous bond line. Busam teaches a method of bonding fibrous materials using bonding patterns. Busam teaches that bonding pattern lines can be, but do not need to be solid lines, such as solid embossing lines as depicted in fig. 5, but can consist of a secondary bonding pattern such as a plurality of dots or points of a certain size arranged such that the distance between adjacent dots within the secondary pattern is significantly different than the center to center distance between to adjacent non-intersecting primary pattern lines, (col. 11, lines 10-18). Therefore one can utilize the teaching of the various bonding patterns, of Busam to replace the solid line, 34, of Tanaka, and when this is done there will be some space in between the dots or points that will not be bonded by the spots or points, and in this instance a first portion of the fibers in the fiber bundle will be bonded by the spot-form joining parts and by the central joining part.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SHANTESE MCDONALD whose telephone number is (571)272-4486. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on (571) 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/577,992 Page 7

Art Unit: 3723

S.L.M.

August 31, 2009

/Joseph J. Hail, III/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3723